arXiv:1303.1863v1 [math-ph] 8 Mar 2013

A GIBBONS-PENROSE INEQUALITY FOR SURFACES IN SCHWARZSCHILD SPACETIME

SIMON BRENDLE AND MU-TAO WANG

Abstract. We propose a geometric inequality for two-dimensional spacelike surfaces in the Schwarzschild spacetime. This inequality implies the Penrose inequality for collapsing dust shells in general relativity, as proposed by Penrose and Gibbons. We prove that the inequality holds in several important cases.

1. Introduction

In [10], Penrose proposed an inequality as a natural consequence of Cosmic Censorship. The original set-up of Penrose consists of a shell of dust collapsing to a point at the speed of light. The null hypersurface swept by the incoming shell separates the spacetime into two components with the flat Minkowski metric inside. Outside the null shell, the metric is no longer flat. The spacetime is vacuum except for a delta distribution of the energy-momentum tensor of matter density supporting along the null hypersurface.

The Penrose inequality in this case reduces to a geometric inequality on a marginally trapped surface in the null hypersurface. The location and geometry of the marginally trapped surface depends on the matter density which can be arbitrarily prescribed. This inequality should hold for a general spacelike 2-surface in the Minkowski spacetime with minimal convexity assumptions to guarantee the regularity of the null hypersurface at infinity. It was observed by Gibbons [4] that the inequality is exactly the classical Minkowski inequality when the 2-surface lies in an Euclidean hyperplane. Tod [11, 12] studied the case when the 2-surface lies in the past null cone of a point and derived it from the Sobolev inequality.

The classical Minkowski inequality was generalized to a mean convex and star-shaped surface using the method of inverse mean curvature flow (cf. [6]). Very recently, Huisken [7] showed that the assumption that Σ is star-shaped can be replaced by the assumption that Σ is outward-minimizing.

Date: March 7, 2013.

The first author was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-1201924. The second author was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-1105483. The authors would like to thank Gary Gibbons, Gerhard Huisken, and Shing-Tung Yau for helpful discussions. In particular, we are grateful to Gerhard Huisken for pointing out the existence of umbilical slices in the Schwarzschild spacetime.

In [5], Gibbons proposed a reduction scheme to approach the Penrose inequality for general surfaces in the Minkowski spacetime. The idea is to project the 2-surface orthogonally onto an Euclidean hyperplane and to relate to the Minkowski inequality of the projected surface. However, Gibbons' calculation contains a mistake and the validity of this inequality for general surfaces remains open, see section 7.1 of [9].

In [15, 16], the authors made use of Gibbons' projection procedure in their definition of quasi-local mass. It turns out the term that is missing from Gibbons's calculation corresponds a connection 1-form of the normal bundle with respect to a certain normal frame on the 2-surface. This term does not vanish in general and is essential in the new definition of quasi-local mass in [15, 16].

In [3], a Minkowski type inequality for surfaces in the Anti-deSitter-Schwarzschild manifold was proved using the inverse mean curvature flow and a new Heintze-Karcher type inequality in [2]. When the mass parameter is zero, this inequality implies the Penrose inequality for a 2-surface that lies on the hyperbola of the Minkowski spacetime, see section 8 of [14]. In this article, we propose a conjecture generalizing the Penrose inequality for surfaces in the Minkowski spacetime. More specifically, the ambient space is the Schwarzschild spacetime. We prove that the inequality holds in following four cases: (1) when the surface lies in a totally geodesic time slice; (2) when the surface lies in a totally umbilical slice; (3) when the surface lies in a null hypersurface emanating from a sphere of symmetry; (4) when the surface lies in a convex static timelike hypersurface.

We remark that the Riemannian Penrose inequality was proved by Huisken-Ilmanen [8] and Bray [1]. For other related work on the Penrose inequality, we refer to [9] and references therein.

2. Statement of the Penrose inequality

2.1. **Minkowski spacetime.** Let Σ be a two-dimensional spacelike closed embedded surface in the Minkowksi space $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. Throughout the article, we assume Σ is diffeomorphic to S^2. We consider a fixed future timelike vector $T_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ satisfying $\langle T_0, T_0\rangle = -1$.

We recall the mean curvature vector field $\vec{H}$ of Σ, which is the unique normal vector field such that the variation of area of Σ in a normal variation field V is given by $\int_\Sigma \langle \vec{H}, V\rangle d\mu$. Let L and $\underline{L}$ be two null normals of Σ with $\langle L, \underline{L}\rangle = 2$. We assume L is future-directed and $\underline{L}$ is past-directed (both outward pointing whenever this makes sense). In terms of L and $\underline{L}$, we have

$$\vec{H} = \frac{1}{2}\langle \vec{H}, L\rangle \underline{L} + \frac{1}{2}\langle \vec{H}, \underline{L}\rangle L.$$

The dual mean curvature vector $\vec{J}$ is defined as

$$\vec{J} = \frac{1}{2}\langle \vec{H}, L\rangle \underline{L} - \frac{1}{2}\langle \vec{H}, \underline{L}\rangle L.$$

$\vec{J}$ satisfies $\langle \vec{J}, \vec{J}\rangle = -\langle \vec{H}, \vec{H}\rangle$ and $\langle \vec{J}, \vec{H}\rangle = 0$. In fact, $\vec{J}$ is uniquely characterized by these properties, up to a sign. The choice here makes $\vec{J}$ a future timelike vector in case $\vec{H}$ is outward spacelike.

We also recall the second fundamental form of Σ with respect to L and $\underline{L}$:

$$\langle \nabla_{\partial_a} L, \partial_b\rangle = \chi_{ab} \text{ and } \langle \nabla_{\partial_a} \underline{L}, \partial_b\rangle = \underline{\chi}_{ab}$$

for tangent vectors $\{\partial_a\}_{a=1,2}$ to Σ. $\chi = \sigma^{ab}\chi_{ab}$ and $\underline{\chi} = \sigma^{ab}\underline{\chi}_{ab}$ denote the respective null expansions and σ^{ab} is the inverse of the induced metric σ_{ab} on Σ.

Definition 1. *A spacelike 2-surface is future null convex if χ_{ab} is positive definite, and past null convex if $\underline{\chi}_{ab}$ is positive definite.*

The past null convex condition ensures the past null hypersurface emanating from Σ along $\underline{L}$ extends to past infinity.

The following inequality for spacelike 2-surfaces in the Minkowski spacetime was proposed by Penrose in [10]:

Conjecture 2. *(Penrose) Suppose that Σ is past null convex, then*

$$-\int_\Sigma \langle \vec{J}, T_0\rangle \, d\mu \geq \sqrt{16\pi\,|\Sigma|}. \tag{1}$$

We have the pointwise identities

$$\langle \vec{H}, L\rangle\, \underline{L} = \vec{J} + \vec{H} \text{ and } -\langle \vec{H}, \underline{L}\rangle\, L = \vec{J} - \vec{H}.$$

By the divergence theorem,

$$\int_\Sigma \langle \vec{H}, T_0\rangle \, d\mu = 0.$$

This implies

$$-\int_\Sigma \langle \vec{H}, L\rangle \langle \underline{L}, T_0\rangle \, d\mu = \int_\Sigma \langle \vec{H}, \underline{L}\rangle \langle L, T_0\rangle \, d\mu = -\int_\Sigma \langle \vec{J}, T_0\rangle \, d\mu. \tag{2}$$

Thus, inequality (1) can be reformulated as follows:

$$-\int_\Sigma \langle \vec{H}, L\rangle \langle \underline{L}, T_0\rangle \, d\mu \geq \sqrt{16\pi\,|\Sigma|}. \tag{3}$$

This formulation is independent of the choice of L and $\underline{L}$ except the normalization $\langle L, \underline{L}\rangle = 2$. If we choose $\underline{L}$ such that $\langle \underline{L}, T_0\rangle = 1$,

$$-\int_\Sigma \langle \vec{H}, L\rangle \langle \underline{L}, T_0\rangle \, d\mu = \int_\Sigma \chi \, d\mu \tag{4}$$

corresponds to the future (outward) null expansion.

Note that equation (3) is equivalent to equation (51) in [9].

2.2. **Schwarzschild spacetime.** Consider the Schwarzschild spacetime metric in Schwarzschild coordinate (t, r, θ, ϕ):

$$-(1 - \frac{2m}{r})dt^2 + \frac{1}{(1-\frac{2m}{r})}dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2). \tag{5}$$

Let Σ be an embedded closed spacelike 2-surface in the Schwarzschild spacetime. Let L and $\underline{L}$ be two null normals of Σ with $\langle L, \underline{L}\rangle = 2$. Again we assume L is future-directed and $\underline{L}$ is past-directed, and the mean curvature vector is

$$\vec{H} = \frac{1}{2}\langle \vec{H}, L\rangle \underline{L} + \frac{1}{2}\langle \vec{H}, \underline{L}\rangle L.$$

We similarly define the second fundamental form χ_{ab} and $\underline{\chi}_{ab}$ of Σ with respect to L and $\underline{L}$, respectively. Past null convex and future null convex can be defined accordingly.

Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is a Killing field, we have

$$\int_\Sigma \langle \vec{H}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle d\mu = 0.$$

We consider the quantity

$$\int_\Sigma \langle \vec{H}, L\rangle \langle \underline{L}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle d\mu = \int_\Sigma \langle \vec{J}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle d\mu.$$

Conjecture 3. *The following inequality holds for any spacelike past null convex 2-surface Σ in the Schwarzschild spacetime,*

$$-\int_\Sigma \langle \vec{H}, L\rangle \langle \underline{L}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle d\mu + 16\pi m \geq \sqrt{16\pi|\Sigma|} \tag{6}$$

where m is the total mass of the Schwarzschild spacetime.

Of course, an equivalent formulation is

$$-\int_\Sigma \langle \vec{J}, T_0\rangle d\mu + 16\pi m \geq \sqrt{16\pi|\Sigma|}. \tag{7}$$

The Schwarzschild spacetime belongs to the larger class of static spacetimes. We recall that a spacetime S is static if the metric is of the form $\Omega^2 dt^2 + g_M$ where g_M is a Riemannian metric on a 3-manifold M and Ω is a smooth function defined on M. A complete timelike hypersurface B of S is *convex static* if it is invariant under the Killing field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and the intersection with a time-slice is a convex 2-surface in M.

In this article, we prove that the inequality holds for a large class of spacelike 2-surfaces in the Schwarzschild spacetime.

Theorem 4. *Let Σ be a closed embedded spacelike 2-surface in the Schwarzschild spacetime. The Gibbons-Penrose inequality* (6) *holds in the following cases:*

1. *Σ lies in a totally geodesic spacelike hypersurface and Σ is mean convex and star-shaped*
2. *Σ lies in a totally umbilical spacelike hypersurface and Σ is mean convex and star-shaped.*

(3) Σ *lies in a null hypersurface emanating from a sphere of symmetry.*
(4) Σ *lies in a convex static timelike hypersurface.*

We remark that by taking $m = 0$, these give rise to the Penrose inequality in the Minkowski spacetime in the corresponding cases (see also [14]).

3. Proof of the inequality in four cases

3.1. **Surfaces in a totally geodesic time slice.** We first check the case when Σ lies in a totally geodesic time-slice ($t = 0$) and thus the induced metric is

$$\frac{1}{(1-\frac{2m}{r})}dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2).$$

The future timelike unit normal is given by $e_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{r}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Let $L = e_0 + \nu$ and $\underline{L} = -e_0 + \nu$ be the two null normals where ν is the outward unit normal of Σ in the time-slice. We compute $\langle \underline{L}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle = -\langle e_0, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle = \sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{r}}$ and $\langle \vec{H}, L\rangle = \langle \vec{H}, \nu\rangle = -H$ where H is the mean curvature of Σ with respect to ν. Therefore

$$-\int_\Sigma \langle \vec{H}, L\rangle\langle \underline{L}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle d\mu = \int_\Sigma H\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{r}}d\mu.$$

Notice that the static potential for the Schwarzschild space-time is $\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{r}}$. In this case, the horizon area $|\partial M|$ is given by $4\pi(2m)^2$, and the inequality follows from Theorem 1 in [3] applying to the Schwarzschild space. Thus we have inequality (6) in this case is equivalent to

$$\int_\Sigma H\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{r}}d\mu + 16\pi m \geq \sqrt{16\pi|\Sigma|}, \tag{8}$$

which holds for any mean convex, star-shaped 2-surface in a totally geodesic time slice of the Schwarzschild spacetime.

3.2. **Surfaces in a totally umbilical slice.** We claim that the inequality in Theorem 1 of [3] for surfaces in the Anti-deSitter-Schwarzschild manifold corresponds to inequality (6) for surfaces in an umbilical slice of the Schwarzschild space-time.

Let us recall the definition of the Anti-deSitter-Schwarzschild manifold [1]. We fix a real number $m > 0$, and let s_0 denote the unique positive solution of the equation

$$1 + \lambda^2 s_0^2 - 2m\, s_0^{2-n} = 0. \tag{9}$$

---

[1] The definition here is slightly different from [2] and [3], as we use $2m$ as the mass parameter instead of m

We then consider the manifold $M = S^{n-1} \times [s_0, \infty)$ equipped with the Riemannian metric

$$\bar{g} = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda^2 s^2 - 2m\, s^{2-n}}\, ds^2 + s^2\, g_{S^{n-1}},$$

where $g_{S^{n-1}}$ is the standard round metric on the unit sphere S^{n-1}. The sectional curvatures of $(M, \bar{g})$ approach -1 near infinity, so $\bar{g}$ is asymptotically hyperbolic. Moreover, the scalar curvature of $(M, \bar{g})$ equals $-n(n-1)$. The boundary $\partial M = S^{n-1} \times \{s_0\}$ is referred to as the horizon. Here we focus on the case $n = 3$.

Now we return to the Schwarzschild spacetime. Consider a function $\rho = \rho(s)$ that satisfies

$$\rho' = \frac{\lambda s}{(1 - \frac{2m}{s})\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{s} + \lambda^2 s^2}}$$

for a constant $\lambda > 0$.

Take the embedding of $(2m, \infty) \times S^2$ into the Schwarzschild space-time by $F(s, \theta, \phi) = (\rho(s), s, \theta, \phi)$ in Schwarzschild coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) and denote the image by $\hat{M} = \{(t, r, \theta, \phi) \,|\, t = \rho(s), r = s\}$.

Substituting $t = \rho(s), r = s$ in (5), it follows that the induced metric on $\hat{M}$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{2m}{s} + \lambda^2 s^2} ds^2 + s^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)$$

which is isometric to the one on an Anti-deSitter-Schwarzschild three-manifold M.

Remark 5. *ρ appears to be only defined on $(2m, \infty)$. However, we should be able to extend $\hat{M}$ in an extension of Schwarzschild space-time so that the domain of definition of s extends to (s_0, ∞) where s_0 is the unique positive root of $1 - \frac{2m}{s} + \lambda^2 s^2$. In any case, we shall denote by M the one that is extended to (s_0, ∞) which is referred as the AdS-Schwarzschild manifold in* [3] *. Note that $\hat{M} \subset M$ is an isometric embedding.*

Proposition 6. *The hypersurface $\hat{M}$ is umbilical, i.e the second fundamental form is proportional to the induced metric.*

Proof. Denote $b(s) = 1 - \frac{2m}{s}$ and $f(s) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{s} + \lambda^2 s^2}$, we have the following relation:

$$b^{-1} - (\rho')^2 b = f^{-2}.$$

An orthonormal coframe adapted to the hypersurface $\hat{M}$ is given by

$$\theta^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^{-1} - b(\rho')^2}}(dt - \rho' dr) = f(s)(dt - \rho' dr),$$

$$\theta^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^{-1} - b(\rho')^2}}(b\rho' dt - b^{-1} dr) = f(s)(b\rho' dt - b^{-1} dr),$$

$$\theta^2 = s d\theta,$$

and

$$\theta^3 = s \sin\theta d\phi,$$

where θ^0 is the unit conormal that is dual to the unit future timelike normal

$$e_0 = \frac{f(s)}{b(s)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \lambda s \frac{\partial}{\partial r}. \tag{10}$$

The second fundamental form can be computed using this coframe and we derive

$$h_{11} = \frac{d}{ds}\left(\frac{b\rho'}{\sqrt{b^{-1} - b(\rho')^2}}\right),$$

$$h_{22} = h_{33} = \frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{b\rho'}{\sqrt{b^{-1} - b(\rho')^2}}\right).$$

We check that

$$\frac{b\rho'}{\sqrt{b^{-1} - b(\rho')^2}} = \lambda s$$

and thus $\hat{M}$ is umbilical. □

We compute $-\langle e_0, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle = \theta^0(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{s} + \lambda^2 s^2} = f(s)$ which is exactly the static potential for the AdS-Schwarzschild space-time.

Proposition 7. *For spacelike 2-surface Σ in $\hat{M}$ that is mean convex and star-shaped, the inequality* (6) *holds.*

Proof. We assume $\lambda = 1$ for simplicity. Consider a spacelike 2-surface Σ in the umbilical hyersurface $\hat{M}$. Let ν be the outward unit normal of Σ in $\hat{M}$. The mean curvature vector $\vec{H}$ is given by $-H\nu + 2e_0$ where H is the mean curvature of Σ in $\hat{M}$ with respect to ν. The equation $\int_\Sigma \langle \vec{H}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle d\mu = 0$ implies

$$\int_\Sigma -H\langle \nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle + 2\langle e_0, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle d\mu = 0.$$

Take $L = e_0 + \nu$ and $\underline{L} = -e_0 + \nu$ to be the two null normals, we have $\langle \underline{L}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle = -\langle e_0, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle + \langle \nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle$. On the other hand,

$$\langle \vec{H}, L\rangle \langle \underline{L}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle = H\langle e_0, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle - H\langle \nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle + 2\langle e_0, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle - 2\langle \nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle$$

and thus

$$\int_\Sigma \langle \vec{H}, L\rangle \langle \underline{L}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle d\mu = \int_\Sigma H\langle e_0, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle d\mu - 2\int_\Sigma \langle \nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle d\mu.$$

Denote by $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^\top$ the component of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ that is tangential to $\hat{M}$. From (10) and $F_*(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}) = \rho'(s)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$, we derive

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^\top = -sf(s)F_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right).$$

We can therefore identify $\int_\Sigma \langle \nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle d\mu$ on $\hat{M}$ with the corresponding integral

$$\int_\Sigma \langle \nu, -sf(s)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle d\mu$$

on M. Recall $(M, \bar{g})$ is defined of $s \in [s_0, \infty)$ with

$$\bar{g} = \frac{1}{f^2(s)} ds^2 + s^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2).$$

Performing integration by parts on M gives

$$\int_\Sigma \langle \nu, -sf(s)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle d\mu = \int_\Omega \mathrm{div}_{\bar{g}}(-sf(s)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}) dvol + \int_{\partial M} \langle \nu, -sf(s)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle d\mu$$

where ∂M is the horizon and Ω is the region enclosed by ∂M and Σ.

A straightforward computation shows

$$\mathrm{div}_{\bar{g}}(-sf\frac{\partial}{\partial s}) = -3f.$$

In fact, $sf(s)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ is the conformal Killing field used in [2].

On the other hand, on a level surface of s, $\nu = f\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ and $\langle \nu, -sf(s)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle = -s$. Taking the limit $s \to s_0^+$, we have

$$\int_{\partial M} \langle \nu, -sf(s)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rangle d\mu = -4\pi s_0^3.$$

To this end, we have

$$\int_\Sigma \langle \vec{H}, L\rangle \langle \underline{L}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle d\mu = -\int_\Sigma fH d\mu + 6\int_\Omega f dvol + 8\pi s_0^3.$$

Now recall from [3] that for such a surface in the AdS-Schwarzschild space M,

$$\int_\Sigma fH d\mu - 6\int_\Omega f dvol \geq \sqrt{16\pi|\Sigma|} - 8\pi s_0.$$

Therefore, inequality (6) follows by combing the last two inequalities and the defining equation (9) of s_0, which implies $s_0^3 + s_0 = 2m$. □

3.3. **Surfaces in a null cone.**

Let Σ be a spacelike 2-surface which is contained in the null hypersurface

$$N = \{(t, r, \theta, \phi) \,|\, -t = s + 2m\log(\frac{s}{2m} - 1), r = s, s > 2m\}.$$

Let L and $\underline{L}$ be the null normal vectors to Σ. Note that the past outward null normal $\underline{L}$ is tangential to the null hypersurface N. Since Σ is spacelike, Σ can be written as a radial graph

$$\Sigma = \{(t, r, \theta, \phi) \,|\, r = u(\theta, \phi),\ -t = s + 2m\log(\frac{s}{2m} - 1)\}$$

for some function $u : S^2 \to (2m, \infty)$.

For each $\lambda > 0$, we denote by ρ_λ the unique solution of the ODE

$$\rho' = \frac{\lambda s}{(1 - \frac{2m}{s})\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{s} + \lambda^2 s^2}}$$

such that $\rho_\lambda(4m) = 4m$. It is easy to see that the functions $\rho_\lambda(s)$ converge smoothly to the function $s + 2m\log(\frac{s}{2m} - 1)$ as $\lambda \to \infty$ for s in compact subintervals of $(2m, \infty)$. Let

$$\hat{M}_\lambda = \{(t, r, \theta, \phi) \mid -t = \rho_\lambda(s), r = s\}.$$

We have seen above that $\hat{M}_\lambda$ is a spacelike hypersurface which is isometric to the 3-dimensional AdS-Schwarzschild manifold. Moreover, the surface

$$\Sigma_\lambda = \{(t, r, \theta, \phi) \mid -t = \rho_\lambda(s), r = u(\theta, \phi)\}$$

can be viewed as a star-shaped surface within the AdS-Schwarzschild manifold $\hat{M}_\lambda$.

As $\lambda \to \infty$, the hypersurfaces $\hat{M}_\lambda$ converge smoothly to the null hypersurface N. Moreover, the surfaces Σ_λ converge smoothly to the original spacelike 2-surface Σ. In particular, the mean curvature vector of Σ_λ converges to the mean curvature vector of Σ as $\lambda \to \infty$. Finally, the unit normal vector field to Σ_λ within $\hat{M}_\lambda$ converges to vector field L after suitable rescaling. Since the null expansion of Σ along L is strictly positive, we conclude that the mean curvature of Σ_λ (viewed as a hypersurface in $\hat{M}_\lambda$) is strictly positive when λ is sufficiently large. Therefore, Proposition 7 imply that the Gibbons-Penrose inequality (6) holds for Σ_λ when λ is sufficiently large. Taking the limit as $\lambda \to \infty$, we conclude that the Gibbons-Penrose inequality (6) also holds for the original surface Σ.

3.4. **Surfaces in a convex static timelike hypersuface.** Here a convex static timelike hypersurface refers to a hypersurface given by $r = r(\theta, \phi)$ in the Schwarzschild coordinates such that the intersection of this hypersurface with a time-slice is a convex 2-surface $\hat{\Sigma}$. One can relate the future null expansion of such a surface Σ to the mean curvature of $\hat{\Sigma}$, the orthogonal projection of Σ along the Killing field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Such a relation holds in a general static spacetime. The metric on a general static spacetime S takes the form

$$-\Omega^2(x^1, x^2, x^3)dt^2 + g_{ij}(x^1, x^2, x^3)dx^i dx^j,$$

with Killing field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ where g_{ij} is a Riemannian metric on a time slice M and Ω is the static potential on M. Suppose a 2-surface Σ is embedded in S via $F : \Sigma \hookrightarrow S$, where $(u^1, u^2) \mapsto F(u^1, u^2) = (\tau(u^a), x^1(u^a), x^2(u^a), x^3(u^a))$. The induced metric on Σ is then

$$\sigma_{ab} = \langle \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^a}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^b} \rangle = -\Omega^2 \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial u^a}\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial u^b} + g_{ij}(x^k(u^a))\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^a}\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial u^b}.$$

Also,

$$\langle \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^a}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rangle = -\Omega^2 \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial u^a}.$$

The projection of Σ onto a $t = 0$ slice is given by $\hat{F} : \Sigma \hookrightarrow S$, where $(u^1, u^2) \mapsto \hat{F}(u^1, u^2) = (0, x^1(u^a), x^2(u^a), x^3(u^a))$. We denote the image by $\hat{\Sigma}$. The induced metric on $\hat{\Sigma}$ is then

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ab} = \langle \frac{\partial \hat{F}}{\partial u^a}, \frac{\partial \hat{F}}{\partial u^b} \rangle = g_{ij}(x^k(u^a)) \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^a} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial u^b} = \sigma_{ab} + \Omega^2 \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial u^a} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial u^b}.$$

The timelike hypersurface B connecting $\hat{\Sigma}$ and Σ is the appropriate restriction of the map $\hat{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{R} \to S$ given by $(u^1, u^2, t) \mapsto (t, x^1(u^a), x^2(u^a), x^3(u^a))$.

Suppose ν is the unit outward normal of $\hat{\Sigma}$ within the $t = 0$ slice, $\nu = \nu^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$. We extend ν along B so that the extended vector field $\bar{\nu}$ still has coordinate expression $\bar{\nu} = \nu^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$. Then $\bar{\nu}$ is normal to B and has unit length. Note, however, that $\bar{\nu}$ may not be parallel; $\nabla^S_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \bar{\nu} \neq 0$ in general where ∇^S is the Levi-Civita connection on S. In fact, we compute that the Christoffel symbols $\Gamma^t_{ti} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \log \Omega$ and $\Gamma^j_{ti} = 0$ for any $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, so

$$\nabla^S_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \bar{\nu} = \nu^i \nabla^S_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \nu^i \Big(\Gamma^t_{ti} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Gamma^j_{ti} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Big) = \bar{\nu}(\log \Omega) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}. \tag{11}$$

Take $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^\perp$ to be the component of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ that is normal to the surface Σ. We check that

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^\perp = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Omega^2 \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial u^a} \sigma^{ab} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^b}$$

and

$$\langle (\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^\perp, (\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^\perp \rangle = -\Omega^2 (1 + \Omega^2 |\nabla \tau|^2)$$

where $|\nabla \tau|^2 = \sigma^{ab} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial u^a} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial u^b}$ is the gradient of τ on Σ with respect to the induced metric σ_{ab}. Thus we can take

$$e_4 = \frac{1}{\Omega \sqrt{1 + \Omega^2 |\nabla \tau|^2}} (\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Omega^2 \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial u^a} \sigma^{ab} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^b})$$

as a unit future timelike normal of Σ. From this equation, we also deduce that

$$\langle e_4, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rangle = -\Omega \sqrt{1 + \Omega^2 |\nabla \tau|^2}.$$

Since $\langle \bar{\nu}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rangle = 0$, we have

$$\langle \vec{J}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rangle = \sigma^{ab} \langle \nabla^S_{\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^b}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^a}, \bar{\nu} \rangle \Omega \sqrt{1 + \Omega^2 |\nabla \tau|^2}.$$

We compute using (11)

$$\begin{aligned}
-\langle\nabla^S_{\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^b}}\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^a},\bar\nu\rangle &= \langle\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^a},\nabla^S_{\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^b}}\bar\nu\rangle\\
&= \hat h_{ab}+\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial u^b}\langle\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^a},\nabla^S_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\bar\nu\rangle\\
&= \hat h_{ab}+\bar\nu(\log\Omega)\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial u^b}\langle\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^a},\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle\\
&= \hat h_{ab}-\Omega\,\bar\nu(\Omega)\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial u^a}\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial u^b}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$-\langle\vec J,\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle=\big(\sigma^{ab}\hat h_{ab}-\Omega\,\bar\nu(\Omega)|\nabla\tau|^2\big)\Omega\sqrt{1+\Omega^2|\nabla\tau|^2}.$$

The volume elements of Σ and $\hat\Sigma$ are related by $d\hat\mu=\sqrt{1+\Omega^2|\nabla\tau|^2}d\mu$, integrating the last equation gives

$$-\int_\Sigma\langle\vec J,\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle\,d\mu=\int_{\hat\Sigma}\big(\sigma^{ab}\hat h_{ab}-\Omega\,\bar\nu(\Omega)|\nabla\tau|^2\big)\Omega\,d\hat\mu.$$

In the Schwarzschild spacetime, the static potential $\Omega=\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{r}}$. For a convex star-shaped surface $\hat\Sigma$ on a time slice, $\hat h_{ab}$ is positive definite and $\nu(\Omega)>0$.

In view of the relation between σ and $\hat\sigma$, we have $\sigma^{ab}\hat h_{ab}\geq\hat\sigma^{ab}\hat h_{ab}=\hat H$, the mean curvature of $\hat\Sigma$. Therefore

$$-\int_\Sigma\langle\vec J,\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rangle\,d\mu\geq\int_{\hat\Sigma}\hat H\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{r}}\,d\hat\mu.$$

We already know for a convex star-shaped surface $\hat\Sigma$ in a time slice, equation (8) holds, and thus

$$\int_{\hat\Sigma}\hat H\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{r}}\,d\hat\mu\geq\sqrt{16\pi|\hat\Sigma|}-16\pi m.$$

The desired inequality follows by observing that $|\hat\Sigma|\geq|\Sigma|$.

References

[1] H. Bray, *Proof of the Riemannian Penrose Inequality using the positive mass theorem,* J. Diff. Geom. 59, 177–267 (2001)

[2] S. Brendle, *Constant mean curvature surfaces in warped product manifolds,* to appear in Publ Math IHÉS, arXiv:1105.4273v3

[3] S. Brendle, P.-K. Hung, and M.-T. Wang, *A Minkowski-type inequality for hypersurfaces in the Anti-deSitter-Schwarzschild manifold,* arXiv:1209.0669

[4] G.W. Gibbons, Ph.D. thesis, Cambridge University (1973).

[5] G.W. Gibbons, *Collapsing shells and the isoperimetric inequality for black holes,* Class. Quantum Grav. 14, 2905–2915 (1997)

[6] P. Guan and J. Li, *The quermassintegral inequalities for k-convex starshaped domains,* Adv. Math. 221, 1725 –1732 (2009)

[7] G. Huisken, in preparation

[8] G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen, *The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality,* J. Diff. Geom. 59, 353–437 (2001)

[9] M. Mars, *Present status of the Penrose inequality,* Class. Quantum Grav. 26, 193001, 59 pp. (2009)

[10] R. Penrose, *Naked singularities,* Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 224, 125–134 (1973)

[11] K.P. Tod, *Penrose quasilocal mass and the isoperimetric inequality for static black holes,* Class, Quantum Grav. 2, L65–L68 (1985)

[12] K.P. Tod, *More on Penrose's quasilocal mass,* Class, Quantum Grav. 3, 1169–1189 (1986)

[13] K.P. Tod, *The hoop conjecture and the Gibbons-Penrose construction of trapped surfaces,* Classical Quantum Grav. 9, no. 6, 1581-1591 (1992)

[14] M.-T. Wang, *Quasilocal mass and surface Hamiltonian in spacetime,* arXiv:1211.1407

[15] M.-T. Wang and S.-T. Yau, *Quasilocal mass in general relativity.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009), no. 2, no. 021101.

[16] M.-T. Wang and S.-T. Yau, *Isometric embeddings into the Minkowski space and new quasi-local mass,* Comm. Math. Phys. 288, no. 3, 919–942 (2009)

Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, 2990 Broadway, New York, NY 10027